#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 15.10.2003 PCT/JP2004/014540 27.09.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07D285/08, C07D417/04, A01N43/836 Applicant SUMITOMO CHEMICAL COMPANY, LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☑ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. **Authorized Officer** Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

vanVoorsttotVoorst,M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8280



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

10/567984 International application No. PCT/JP2004/014540

### IAP20 Res'd PCT/PTO 10 FEB 2006

	Box N	lo. I	Basis of the opinion								
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.										
	la	☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).									
<ol> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:</li> </ol>											
٠	a. type	e of n	naterial:								
		a s	equence listing								
		tab	le(s) related to the sequence listing								
b. format of material:											
		in v	written format								
		in c	computer readable form								
	c. time	e of f	iling/furnishing:								
		COI	ntained in the international application as filed.								
		file	d together with the international application in computer readable form.								
		fur	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.								
3.	h C	as be	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto een filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as priate, were furnished.								
4	Addit	ional	comments:								

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

	Por	· No. II	Priority						<del></del>	<del></del>		
Box No. II Priority  1.   The following document has not been furnished:												
••	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).											
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b))											
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has											
	nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.											
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.											
3.	⊠ .	It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.										
4.	Ado	ditional	observations, if nece	essary:								
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement											
1.		tement										
	No	velty (N	n '	Yes:	Claims	1-10		٠				
		· o		No:	Claims							
	Inv	entive s	step (IS)	Yes:	Claims			•	,			
			(· · · )	No:	Claims	1-10	•					
	Ind	ustrial	applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10						
2.	Cita	ations a	and explanations									
	se	e sepai	rate sheet									
				•		:						
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited											
1.	Се	rtain pu	ublished documents	(Rules 43	bis.1 and 7	0.10)						
	an	d/or	-									
2			en disclosures (Rule	s 43 <i>bis</i> .1 a	and 70.9)							

see form 210

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/014540

IAPZOREC'S PCT/PTO 10 FEB 2006

#### **AD SECTION V:**

- 1. The following documents cited in the International Search Report are considered.
  - D1: DE 30 30 661 A1 (BAYER AG) 1 April 1982 (1982-04-01)
  - D2: EP-A-0 200 334 (FBC LIMITED; SCHERING AGROCHEMICALS LIMITED) 5 November 1986 (1986-11-05)
  - D3: EP-A-0 623 604 (BAYER AG) 9 November 1994 (1994-11-09)
- 2. There is an overlap between the range of compounds as defined in claims 1 and 4 of D1 and the compounds of present claims 1-5, however, as D1 does not specifically disclose 1,2,4-thiadiazole derivatives having a propargyloxy group at the 3-position and a cyclic amine group at the 5-position, the claimed compounds may be considered to represent a novel selection from the compounds of D1. The thiadiazole compounds disclosed in D2 and D3 differ on account of the nature of the substituents at positions 3 and 5 from the present compounds. Having regard to the prior art cited in the Search Report the subject-matter claimed appears to meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 3. Document D1, which represents the closest prior art, teaches propargyloxy substituted 5-membered heteroaromatic rings containing an oxygen or sulfur atom and additionally 1 to 3 nitrogen atoms which may be substituted.

  These compounds are disclosed to be useful in controlling arthropod pests (cf page 24, lines 1-4).

As indicated above the claimed compounds are considered to represent a novel selection from the teaching of D1.

Such a selection, however, is only considered to involve an inventive step, if the compounds selected possess some unexpected effect or advantage with respect to the range of compounds they are selected from.

Since at present no such properties are evident, it is considered that the subject-matter according to claims 1-10 does not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

4. No objections with regard to Article 33(4) PCT arise for claims 1-10.

#### **AD SECTION VI:**

Certain documents cited

#### International application No.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/JP2004/014540

Certain published documents

Application No Patent No Publication date (day/month/year)

Filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)

WO2004/046125

03.06.04

28.10.03

21.11.02

The priority documents pertaining to the present application were not available at the time of establishing this written opinion. Hence, it is based on the assumption that all claims enjoy priority rights from the filing date of the priority document. If it later turns out that this is not correct, the document cited above could become relevant to assess whether claims 1-10 satisfy the criteria set forth in Article 33(1) PCT.